

# STOCHASTIC REACTION-DIFFUSION PROCESSES, OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND INTEGRABLE QUANTUM SPIN CHAINS<sup>1</sup>

GUNTER M. SCHÜTZ

*Department of Physics, University of Oxford  
Theoretical Physics, 1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, UK*

We show that the stochastic dynamics of a large class of one-dimensional interacting particle systems may be presented by integrable quantum spin Hamiltonians. Using the Bethe ansatz and similarity transformations this yields new exact results. In a complementary approach we generalize previous work[1, 2] and present a new description of these and other processes and the related quantum chains in terms of an operator algebra with quadratic relations. The full solution of the master equation of the process is thus turned into the problem of finding representations of this algebra. We find a two-dimensional time-dependent representation of the algebra for the symmetric exclusion process with open boundary conditions. We obtain new results on the dynamics of this system and on the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the corresponding quantum spin chain, which is the isotropic Heisenberg ferromagnet with non-diagonal boundary fields.

---

<sup>1</sup>Invited lecture given at the Satellite Meeting to Statphys 19 on Statistical Models, Yang-Baxter Equation and Related Topics, at Nankai University, Tianjin (August 1995). To appear in the Proceedings, eds. F.Y. Wu and M.L. Ge, (World Scientific, Singapore)

# 1 Stochastic Dynamics and Quantum Systems

A convenient and much used description of stochastic processes is in terms of a master equation for the probability distribution of the stochastic variables of the system. A master equation expresses the probability of finding the system at time  $t + \Delta t$  in a given configuration in terms of the probability distribution at time  $t$  through a first order differential equation in the time variable (infinitesimal  $\Delta t$ ) or through a difference equation in  $t$  (for discrete time steps  $\Delta t$ ). Such processes are Markov processes which may be constructed for the description of e.g. interacting particle systems [3]. These systems are of interest because they have turned out to be useful as models for reaction-diffusion systems in physics and chemistry [4] and, through various mappings, as models for spin flip dynamics [5], interface growth [6], dynamics of DNA in gels [7] and many other highly interesting and non-trivial systems. Even in relatively simple models one finds a very rich dynamical behaviour involving dynamical and non-equilibrium phase transitions of various kinds. A particularly well-studied model where this happens is the asymmetric exclusion process in one dimension [7]. This model is a discrete version of the noisy Burgers equation and describes not only driven diffusion of hard-core particles, but is also a model for dynamics of driven interfaces, polymers in random media [6] and the kinetics of biopolymerization [8].

It is well-known that a master equation can be expressed in a “quantum Hamiltonian formalism” by mapping each state of the system to a basis vector in a suitable vector space  $X$ . In this mapping the probability distribution at time  $t$  becomes a vector  $|f(t)\rangle$  and the master equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|f(t)\rangle = -H|f(t)\rangle \quad (1)$$

where  $H$  is a suitably chosen linear map acting on  $X$ .<sup>2</sup> This is in formal analogy to second quantization in quantum mechanics and we will therefore, in slight abuse of language, call  $H$  a quantum Hamiltonian. The ground state of this in general non-hermitian Hamiltonian (which by construction has energy 0) corresponds to the stationary probability distribution of the stochastic dynamics.

This mapping is in itself not a great achievement, since it represents only a change of language. However, it has turned out in recent years that for many

---

<sup>2</sup> For discrete time dynamics the corresponding equation reads  $|f(t+1)\rangle = T|f(t)\rangle$ .

interesting models the quantum Hamiltonian obtained in this way is an object well-known from other areas of physics and tractable with techniques largely unknown to the community of people interested in the original problem of the stochastic dynamics. A paradigmatic example of this kind is the symmetric exclusion process [9]. In this model particles on a lattice hop between lattice sites  $k, l$  with rates  $p(k, l) = p(l, k)$ . They interact via a hard-core repulsion which prevents the occupation of a lattice site by more than one particle. The quantum Hamiltonian obtained for this system through the mapping described above is the Hamiltonian for the isotropic spin 1/2 Heisenberg ferromagnet [10]. Here the  $SU(2)$  symmetry of the problem (which is not obvious at all in the original master equation) and other approaches have been used for obtaining new exact results [11, 12]. Moreover, in one dimension, the system with nearest neighbour hopping is integrable and can be solved by the Bethe ansatz.

As will be shown below, the integrability is not a special feature of the symmetric diffusion process alone. Also the more interesting and much more challenging case of asymmetric diffusion in one dimension is described by an integrable quantum Hamiltonian and, using the Bethe ansatz and related methods many new exact results have been obtained [11, 13, 14, 15]. Moreover, it turns out that a 10-parameter class of reaction-diffusion systems of identical particles [16] and reaction-diffusion systems of non-identical particles [17, 18] are described by integrable quantum chains.<sup>3</sup>

Independently from these developments a new approach for the treatment of one-dimensional systems was introduced by a matrix description of the ground states of spin Hamiltonians [21] and then of the stationary distribution of the asymmetric exclusion process with open boundary conditions [22, 23, 24]. In this approach the ground state vector of the quantum Hamiltonian (i.e. the stationary distribution of the stochastic process) is expressed in terms of a matrix product measure and given by certain matrix elements of matrices which are representations of an algebra determined from the dynamics (i.e. the Hamiltonian) of the system (see below). Going further, a time-dependent matrix ansatz was introduced for the description of the *complete* dynamics of the (a)symmetric exclusion process with open boundary conditions [1] and used for the derivation of the spectrum in the symmetric

---

<sup>3</sup>For discrete-time dynamics with parallel sublattice updating one gets as time evolution operator  $T$  the transfer matrices of integrable vertex models [19, 20].

case[2]. While for the calculation of correlation functions one needs a representation of the dynamical algebra, for the derivation of the spectrum alone the algebra itself is sufficient. In general, the spectrum contains already valuable information about the decay of correlations to their stationary values: If the Hamiltonian has an energy gap, the decay will, at late times, be exponential, while for a continuous gapless spectrum one expects algebraic decay. Moreover, from a finite-size scaling analysis of the spectrum one may find the dynamical exponent of the system.

In this talk I will first show (Sec. 2) how reaction-diffusion systems of identical hard-core particles are related to a generalized Heisenberg chain. Its spectrum can be obtained from the Bethe ansatz. This will be a simplified rederivation of some results obtained earlier[16]. Then (Sec. 3) I will generalize the operator approach to the general reaction-diffusion problem of identical hard-core particles with nearest neighbour interaction in one dimension. Finally I will return to the symmetric diffusion process and present a two-dimensional representation of the time-dependent operator algebra. I would like to emphasize that most of the results presented in Sec. 3 are original.

## 2 Integrable Reaction-Diffusion Processes

We will consider stochastic reaction-diffusion processes of identical particles with hard-core repulsion moving on a ring with  $L$  sites. Even though part of our approach generalizes to arbitrary lattices [16] we will study here only one-dimensional systems with nearest neighbour interaction. The stochastic variables of the system are the occupation numbers  $\underline{n} = \{n_k\}$  where  $n_k = 0, 1$  indicates whether site  $1 \leq k \leq L$  in the lattice is occupied or empty. At a given time  $t$  the state of the system is completely described by the probability distribution  $f(\underline{n}; t)$ . In this class of models there are ten possible reactions in addition to right and left hopping (diffusion), so altogether one has to specify 12 independent rates  $a_{ij} \geq 0$  (Tab. 1).

The stochastic dynamics are defined by the master equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\underline{n}; t) = \sum_{\underline{n}'} [w(\underline{n}; \underline{n}')f(\underline{n}'; t) - w(\underline{n}'; \underline{n})f(\underline{n}; t)] \quad (2)$$

where the reaction-diffusion rates  $w(\underline{n}; \underline{n}')$  for a change from configuration

Table 1: Bulk reaction and diffusion rates for nearest neighbour exclusion processes of identical particles. The numbers  $a_{ij}$  are the rate of change of the occupation numbers  $\{n_k, n_{k+1}\}$ .

| Process             | Rate     |               | Process             | Rate     |                   |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| $01 \rightarrow 10$ | $a_{32}$ | diffusion     | $10 \rightarrow 01$ | $a_{23}$ | diffusion         |
| $11 \rightarrow 01$ | $a_{24}$ | coagulation   | $01 \rightarrow 11$ | $a_{42}$ | decoagulation     |
| $11 \rightarrow 10$ | $a_{34}$ | coagulation   | $10 \rightarrow 11$ | $a_{43}$ | decoagulation     |
| $00 \rightarrow 01$ | $a_{21}$ | creation      | $01 \rightarrow 00$ | $a_{12}$ | annihilation      |
| $00 \rightarrow 10$ | $a_{31}$ | creation      | $10 \rightarrow 00$ | $a_{13}$ | annihilation      |
| $00 \rightarrow 11$ | $a_{41}$ | pair creation | $11 \rightarrow 00$ | $a_{14}$ | pair annihilation |

$\underline{n}' \rightarrow \underline{n}$  are equal to the sum

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^L \left\{ \delta_{n'_k,0} \delta_{n'_{k+1},0} \left[ a_{21} \delta_{n_k,0} \delta_{n_{k+1},1} + a_{31} \delta_{n_k,1} \delta_{n_{k+1},0} + a_{41} \delta_{n_k,1} \delta_{n_{k+1},1} \right] + \right. \\
& \quad \delta_{n'_k,0} \delta_{n'_{k+1},1} \left[ a_{12} \delta_{n_k,0} \delta_{n_{k+1},0} + a_{32} \delta_{n_k,1} \delta_{n_{k+1},0} + a_{42} \delta_{n_k,1} \delta_{n_{k+1},1} \right] + \\
& \quad \delta_{n'_k,1} \delta_{n'_{k+1},0} \left[ a_{13} \delta_{n_k,0} \delta_{n_{k+1},0} + a_{23} \delta_{n_k,0} \delta_{n_{k+1},1} + a_{43} \delta_{n_k,1} \delta_{n_{k+1},1} \right] + \\
& \quad \left. \delta_{n'_k,1} \delta_{n'_{k+1},1} \left[ a_{14} \delta_{n_k,0} \delta_{n_{k+1},0} + a_{24} \delta_{n_k,0} \delta_{n_{k+1},1} + a_{34} \delta_{n_k,1} \delta_{n_{k+1},0} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This somewhat lengthy expression becomes more compact in the quantum Hamiltonian formalism (1): To each configuration  $\underline{n}$  a vector  $|\underline{n}\rangle$  which, together with the transposed vectors  $\langle \underline{n}|$ , form an orthonormal basis of  $(\mathbf{C}^2)^{\otimes L}$ . In spin language this corresponds to a mapping to a spin 1/2 chain by identifying a vacancy (particle) at site  $k$  with spin up (down) at this site. The probability distribution is then given by the state vector  $|f(t)\rangle = \sum_{\underline{n}} f(\underline{n}; t) |\underline{n}\rangle$  and the formal solution of the master equation (1) in terms of the initial distribution  $|f(0)\rangle$  is given by  $|f(t)\rangle = \exp(-Ht) |f(0)\rangle$ . The stochastic

dynamics are defined by the master equation (1) with[16]

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^L h_k \quad (3)$$

where the matrices  $h_k$  act non-trivially only on sites  $k, k+1$  and are given by

$$h_k = - \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}_{k,k+1} \quad (4)$$

with  $a_{jj} = -\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^4 a_{ij}$ .

The connection of  $H$  to the Heisenberg quantum chain becomes apparent by the similarity transformation  $\tilde{H} = \Phi V H V^{-1} \Phi^{-1}$  with  $V = \exp(S^+)$  where  $S^+ = \sum_{k=1}^L s_k^+$  and  $s_k^\pm = (\sigma_k^x \pm i\sigma_k^y)/2$  are the spin lowering and raising operators acting on site  $k$  and with  $\Phi = \exp(\mathcal{E} \sum_k k \sigma_k^z)$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a suitably chosen constant[16]. On the ten parameter submanifold defined by

$$a_{34} = a_{21} + a_{41} + a_{12} + a_{32} - a_{23} - a_{43} - a_{14} \quad (5)$$

$$a_{24} = a_{31} + a_{41} + a_{13} + a_{23} - a_{32} - a_{42} - a_{14}. \quad (6)$$

the transition matrices have now the structure  $\tilde{h}_k = h_k^{XXZ} + h_k^-$ . Here  $h_k^{XXZ}$  commutes with  $S^z = \sum_{k=1}^L \sigma_k^z/2$  and  $h_k^-$  is a sum of two parts which lower the  $z$ -component of the spin on sites  $k, k+1$  by one and two units respectively. So one finds

$$\tilde{H} = H^{XXZ} + H^- \quad (7)$$

where  $H^{XXZ}$  is the Hamiltonian of the anisotropic Heisenberg ferromagnet with twisted boundary conditions in a magnetic field. The crucial observation is that  $H^-$  *does not change the spectrum of*  $H^{XXZ}$ , since  $H^{XXZ}$  may be block-diagonalized into blocks with fixed quantum number  $S^z$  and  $H^-$  connects only blocks of given  $S^z$  with blocks with quantum numbers  $S^z-1$  and  $S^z-2$ .<sup>4</sup>

Quantities of interest are expectation values (i.e.  $r$ -point correlation functions)  $\langle n_{k_1}(t) \dots n_{k_r}(t) \rangle_{f_0} = \langle s | n_{k_1} \dots n_{k_r} e^{-Ht} | f(0) \rangle$  which give the probability of finding particles on the set of sites  $\{k_1, \dots, k_r\}$  at time  $t$ , if the initial

---

<sup>4</sup>This mechanism was first noticed in a similar context in Alcaraz et al.[25].

distribution at time  $t = 0$  was  $f_0$ . Here  $\langle s | = \sum_{\underline{n}} \langle \underline{n} |$  and  $n_k = (1 - \sigma_k^z)/2$  is the projector on states with a particle on site  $k$ . From the Bethe ansatz one finds now that the spectrum has an energy gap (i.e. inverse correlation time)  $\mu' = 4a_{41} + 2(a_{21} + a_{31}) + a_{12} + a_{13} - a_{42} - a_{43} \geq 0$ . If  $\mu' = 0$  the dynamical exponent turns out to be  $z = 2$ . Note also that  $V$  transforms a  $r$ -point density correlation function into a matrix element in the sector with  $r$  down spins. Since  $H^-$  only creates down spins, only transformed initial states with  $l \leq r$  down spins will contribute to the correlation function. This surprising simplification allows for an exact calculation of the local average density for any initial state even though we are dealing with a non-trivial interacting many particle system[16].

### 3 The Dynamic Matrix Ansatz

The results of the last section involve the constraints (5), (6) and do not apply e.g. for the asymmetric exclusion process. Also this model is integrable, but a calculation of time-dependent correlation functions has not yet been achieved. In order to solve this problem we now formulate a dynamic matrix ansatz for the general reaction-diffusion system defined by (3) and (4), generalizing earlier work[1, 2] for diffusion only. Instead of periodic boundary conditions we consider a system with open boundaries where particles are injected (absorbed) at site 1 with rate  $\alpha$  ( $\gamma$ ) and at site  $L$  with rate  $\delta$  ( $\beta$ ). Therefore  $H = b_1 + b_L + \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} h_k$  with suitably chosen boundary matrices  $b_1, b_L$ [1].

The ansatz is to take  $|f(t)\rangle = \langle\langle W | \{ \prod_{k=1}^L (E(t) + D(t)\sigma_k^-) \} | 0 \rangle \rangle | V \rangle \rangle / Z_L$  where  $|0\rangle$  is the state with all spins up and  $D, E$  are time-dependent matrices satisfying an algebra obtained from the master equation (1). The (time-independent) vectors  $\langle\langle W |$  and  $|V\rangle\rangle$  on which  $D$  and  $E$  act are determined from the boundary terms in the master equation and  $Z_L = \langle\langle W | C^L | V \rangle\rangle$  where  $C = D + E$  is a normalization. In this framework the  $r$ -point density correlation function is given by  $\langle n_{k_1}(t) \dots n_{k_r}(t) \rangle_{f_0} = \langle\langle W | C^{k_1-1} D C^{k_2-k_1-1} D \dots C^{L-k_r} | V \rangle\rangle / Z_L$ . Therefore, given a matrix representation of the algebra satisfied by  $D, E$ , the computation of time-dependent correlation functions is reduced to the much simpler calculation of matrix elements of a product of  $L$  matrices.

It is easy to see that (1) is solved if for each pair of sites one satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} + h_k \right) (E + D\sigma_k^-) (E + D\sigma_{k+1}^-) |0\rangle = \\ & \left[ (S + T\sigma_k^-) (E + D\sigma_{k+1}^-) - (E + D\sigma_k^-) (S + T\sigma_{k+1}^-) \right] |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $S, T$  are auxiliary operators satisfying

$$\langle\langle W | \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} + b_1 \right) (E + D\sigma_1^-) + (S + T\sigma_1^-) \right] |0\rangle \right\rangle = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} + b_L \right) (E + D\sigma_L^-) - (S + T\sigma_L^-) \right] |0\rangle |V\rangle = 0. \quad (10)$$

By comparing each of the four terms in (8) proportional to  $|0\rangle$ ,  $\sigma_k^-|0\rangle$ ,  $\sigma_{k+1}^-|0\rangle$  and  $\sigma_k^- \sigma_{k+1}^-|0\rangle$  resp. one obtains four quadratic relations for the operators  $D, E, S, T$ . Eqs. (9) and (10) give two pairs of equations which define  $\langle\langle W |$  and  $|V\rangle$ . Introducing

$$A_j^{(1)} = (a_{21} + a_{31} + a_{41})E^2 - a_{12}ED - a_{13}DE - a_{14}D^2 \quad (11)$$

$$B_j^{(1)} = -a_{21}E^2 + (a_{12} + a_{32} + a_{42})ED - a_{23}DE - a_{24}D^2 \quad (12)$$

$$B_j^{(2)} = -a_{31}E^2 - a_{32}ED + (a_{13} + a_{23} + a_{43})DE - a_{34}D^2 \quad (13)$$

$$A_j^{(2)} = -a_{41}E^2 - a_{42}ED - a_{43}DE + (a_{14} + a_{24} + a_{34})D^2. \quad (14)$$

one finds

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E^2 - [S, E] = A^{(1)} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ED - SD + ET = B^{(1)} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} DE - TE + DS = B^{(2)} \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} D^2 - [T, D] = A^{(2)} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\langle\langle W | \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E - \alpha E + \gamma D + S \right\} = 0 \quad (19)$$



$$\langle\langle W | \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} D + \alpha E - \gamma D + T \right\} = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E - \delta E + \beta D - S \right\} | V \rangle\rangle = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} D + \delta E - \beta D - T \right\} | V \rangle\rangle = 0. \quad (22)$$

One may reduce this algebra by assuming that  $C$  is time-independent and has a representation where it is invertible. Eqs. (8) then imply  $[C, S+T] = 0$  and (9),(10) imply  $\langle\langle W | (S+T) = 0 = (S+T) | V \rangle\rangle$ . This can be solved by assuming  $S+T = 0$ , which, as I would like to stress, is *not* the most general choice. Now one can express  $S$  in terms of  $C$  and  $D$  and is left with only two further relations to be satisfied by  $D$  and  $C$  and two relations defining  $\langle\langle W |$  and  $| V \rangle\rangle$ . In particular, if (6) and (7) are satisfied, there is one relation involving  $\dot{D}$  which is linear in  $D$  and one relation quadratic in  $D$ . For the symmetric exclusion model  $a_{23} = a_{32} = 1/2$  this dynamic algebra yields eigenvalue equations for the corresponding  $XXX$ -Hamiltonian with integrable, but non-diagonal, symmetry breaking boundary fields[1, 2]. However, no matrix representation has been found yet. This raises the question whether non-trivial representations do exist at all.

As I will show here for the first time, the answer to this question is yes, at least with some restrictions on the injection and absorption rates. Choosing a basis where  $C$  is diagonal one finds the representation

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} d & \lambda e^{-\epsilon t} \\ 0 & cd \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

with  $\epsilon = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)/2$ ,  $c = 1 - \alpha - \gamma = (1 - \beta - \delta)^{-1}$ ,  $d = \alpha/(\alpha + \gamma) = \delta/(\beta + \delta)$  and  $\langle\langle W |$ ,  $| V \rangle\rangle$  arbitrary but  $\langle\langle W | V \rangle\rangle \neq 0$ . In this representation  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary parameter specifying the initial distribution. One may also use it for the construction of (right) eigenstates of  $H$ , since the expression  $\langle\langle W | E^{k_1-1} D E^{k_2-k_1-1} \dots E^{L-k_r} | V \rangle\rangle$  is a superposition of wave functions  $\Psi_{\epsilon_i}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$  of eigenstates with eigenvalues  $\epsilon_i$ . The argument is the position of  $r$  down spins on sites  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ . Taking  $\lambda = 0$  corresponds to taking the stationary distribution as initial state. This is an eigenstate with energy 0. The terms proportional to  $\lambda$  give the wave function for an eigenstate with energy  $\epsilon$ . The quantity  $1/(\ln |c|)$  plays the role of a spatial correlation length.

## 4 Conclusions

I have shown that a 10-parameter class of stochastic reaction-diffusion systems can be mapped to a generalized Heisenberg quantum chain, the spectrum of which can be obtained by the Bethe ansatz. It turns out that time-dependent  $r$ -point density correlation functions are given by the  $l \leq r$ -magnon sectors which allows for an explicit calculation of correlators. As an alternative to that approach a dynamic matrix ansatz was introduced for the general 12-parameter model. This ansatz reduces the calculation of all correlators to the calculation of certain matrix elements. These matrices satisfy an algebra which is determined by the bulk dynamics of the process. The boundary conditions determine which matrix elements one has to take. In the case of the symmetric exclusion process the algebra satisfied by the matrices can be used to obtain the spectrum of the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian which is the isotropic Heisenberg ferromagnet with non-diagonal boundary fields. An explicit time-dependent matrix representation was presented here for the first time. From this one explicitly obtains all  $r$ -point density correlators for a one-parameter class of initial states. The corresponding eigenvectors of the Heisenberg chain are the ground state with energy 0 and a bound state with energy  $\epsilon = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)/2$ .

The mapping in Sec. 2 relates a stochastic Hamiltonian to an integrable, non-stochastic Hamiltonian. It would be interesting to pursue this approach further and apply it to other systems. Two of the most important unanswered questions are (i) the relationship between the integrability of quantum chains and the dynamic matrix ansatz and (ii) the existence and construction of representations of the general reaction-diffusion algebra derived in Sec. 3.

## Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to acknowledge fruitful discussions with V. Hakim, V. Rittenberg and R.B. Stinchcombe and to thank the organizers of this conference for their kind invitation. This work was supported by an EEC fellowship under the Human Capital and Mobility program.

## References

- [1] R.B. Stinchcombe and G.M. Schütz, *Europhys. Lett.* **29**, 663 (1995).
- [2] R.B. Stinchcombe and G.M. Schütz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 140 (1995).
- [3] T. Liggett, *Interacting Particle Systems* (Springer, New York 1985).
- [4] *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics in One Dimension*, ed. V. Privman (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, in press).
- [5] R. J. Glauber, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 294 (1963).
- [6] J. Krug and H. Spohn, in *Solids far from Equilibrium*, ed. C. Godreche, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).
- [7] R.K.P. Zia and B. Schmittmann, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, eds. C Domb and J. Lebowitz (Academic Press, London, 1995).
- [8] J. T. Mac Donald, J. H. Gibbs, A. C. Pipkin, *Biopolymers* **6**, 1 (1968).
- [9] F. Spitzer, *Adv. Math.* **5**, 246 (1970).
- [10] S. Alexander and T. Holstein, *Phys. Rev. B* **18**, 301 (1978).
- [11] L.-H. Gwa and H. Spohn, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 844 (1992).
- [12] G. Schütz and S. Sandow, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 2726 (1994).
- [13] S. Sandow and G. Schütz, *Europhys. Lett.* **26**, 7 (1994).
- [14] M. Henkel and G. M. Schütz, *Physica A* **206**, 187 (1994).
- [15] D. Kim, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 3512 (1995).
- [16] G.M. Schütz, *J. Stat. Phys.* **79**, 243 (1995).
- [17] F.C. Alcaraz and V. Rittenberg, *Phys. Lett. B* **314**, 377 (1993).
- [18] S.R. Dahmen, *J. Phys. A* **28**, 905 (1995).
- [19] D. Kandel, E. Domany and B. Nienhuis, *J. Phys. A* **23**, L755 (1990).
- [20] G. Schütz, *J. Stat. Phys.* **71**, 471 (1993).

- [21] A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz, J. Phys. A **24**, L955 (1991).
- [22] B. Derrida, M.R. Evans, V. Hakim and V. Pasquier, J. Phys. A **26**, 1493 (1993).
- [23] S. Sandow, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 2660 (1994).
- [24] F.H.L. Essler and V. Rittenberg, preprint cond-mat/9506131.
- [25] F. C. Alcaraz, M. Droz, M. Henkel and V. Rittenberg, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **230**, 250 (1994).